

1 BRYAN A. MERRYMAN (SBN 134357)
 2 J. JONATHAN HAWK (SBN 254350)
 3 WHITE & CASE LLP
 4 633 W. Fifth Street, Suite 1900
 5 Los Angeles, CA 90071-2007
 6 Telephone: (213) 620-7700
 7 Facsimile: (213) 452-2329
 8 Email: bmerryman@whitecase.com
 9 Email: jhawk@whitecase.com

10 BIJAL VAKIL (SBN 192878)
 11 JEREMY OSTRANDER (SBN 233489)
 12 WHITE & CASE LLP
 13 5 Palo Alto Square, 9th Floor
 14 3000 El Camino Real
 15 Palo Alto, CA 94306
 16 Telephone: (650) 213-0300
 17 Facsimile: (650) 213-8158
 18 Email: bvakil@whitecase.com
 19 Email: jostrander@whitecase.com

20 Attorneys for Defendant
 21 GROUPME, INC.

22 [ADDITIONAL COUNSEL LISTED ON
 23 SIGNATURE PAGE]

24
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
OAKLAND DIVISION

25 BRIAN GLAUSER, individually and on behalf
 26 of a class of similarly situated individuals,

27 NO. 4:11-cv-02584-PJH

28 Plaintiffs,

JOINT STATUS REPORT

v.
 21 TWILIO, INC., a Delaware corporation; and
 22 GROUPME, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Complaint Filed: May 27, 2011
 Judge: Hon. Phyllis J. Hamilton

23 Defendants.

WHITE & CASE LLP
 633 W. FIFTH STREET, SUITE 1900
 LOS ANGELES, CA 90071-2007

1 Pursuant to the Court's January 27 and March 15, 2012 Orders, Defendants GroupMe,
 2 Inc. ("GroupMe") and Twilio, Inc. ("Twilio"), and Plaintiff Brian Glauser (collectively, the
 3 "Parties") hereby submit the following Joint Status Report.

4 **JOINT STATUS REPORT**

5 Plaintiff filed his putative Class Action Complaint on May 27, 2011, asserting a claim
 6 against GroupMe and Twilio for alleged violations of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act of
 7 1991 ("TCPA"). Class Action Complaint, Dkt. No. 1 (filed May 27, 2011). Plaintiff filed his
 8 Amended Class Action Complaint on September 15, 2011. Amended Class Action Complaint,
 9 Dkt. No. 34 (filed Sept. 15, 2011). Twilio and GroupMe filed separate motions on September 29
 10 and October 6, 2011, respectively, in part, to stay the case. Plaintiff opposed both motions.

11 On January 27, 2012, the Court granted the separate motions of GroupMe and Twilio to
 12 stay this case pursuant to the primary jurisdiction doctrine. Order Granting Motions to Stay, Dkt.
 13 No. 73, at 1 (filed Jan. 27, 2012). The Court's January 27 Order stated that "the parties shall file
 14 a joint status statement every six months, triggered from the date of this order, to advise the court
 15 as to the status of the two matters currently before the FCC, or sooner, should the FCC resolve
 16 either or both issues prior to the expiration of the six month period." *Id.* at 5.

17 Since the Court issued its January 27 Order, the FCC concluded one of those proceedings
 18 -- its 2010 Notice of Proposed Rulemaking ("2010 NPRM"). Plaintiff notified the Court of the
 19 conclusion to that proceeding by filing Plaintiff's February 27, 2012 Notice of Decision by the
 20 Federal Communications Commission, which requested the Court lift its stay. Dkt. No. 74 (filed
 21 Feb. 27, 2012), Ex. 1 (*In the Matter of Rules and Regulations Implementing the Telephone*
 22 *Consumer Protection Act of 1991*, GC Dkt. No. 02-278, February 15, 2012). GroupMe opposed
 23 Plaintiff's request to lift the stay. Defendant GroupMe, Inc.'s Opposition to Plaintiff's
 24 Administrative Motion to Lift Stay ("Opp. to Admin. Mtn."), Dkt. No. 75, at 3-4 (filed Mar. 2,
 25 2012). The Court denied Plaintiff's request. Order, Dkt. No. 76, at 1 (filed Mar. 15, 2012)
 26 (holding that "the Court is not inclined to lift the stay as to one defendant but not the other," and
 27 "because one of the reasons for the stay pertains to the liability of co-defendant Twilio and the
 28 petition on that issue still remains before the FCC, the stay remains in effect."). The Court held

1 that “the stay remains based solely on the remaining of the two grounds for its initial imposition.”
 2 Order, Dkt. No. 76, at 1.

3 The Club Texting Petition, for which the FCC issued a Public Notice Seeking Comment
 4 before the hearing on GroupMe and Twilio’s respective motions to stay, remains pending before
 5 the FCC as of the date of this Joint Status Report, *i.e.* unchanged since the Court’s March 15,
 6 2012 Order denying Plaintiff’s request to lift the stay.

7 On March 1, 2012, GroupMe filed its Petition for Expedited Declaratory Ruling and
 8 Clarification with the FCC, asking the Commission to clarify which machines constitute
 9 “automatic telephone dialing systems” under the TCPA, and what it means for a machine to have
 10 the “capacity” to auto-dial. Opp. to Admin. Mtn., Dkt. No. 75, Ex. A (*GroupMe, Inc.’s Petition*
 11 *for Expedited Declaratory Ruling and Clarification*). GroupMe’s Petition further asked the FCC
 12 to clarify that a caller can obtain “oral prior express consent” to make “non-telemarketing,
 13 informational calls or text messages to wireless numbers . . . rely[ing] on a representation from an
 14 intermediary that they have obtained the requisite consent from the called party.” *Id.* GroupMe
 15 informed the Court of its Petition on March 2, 2012, in conjunction with its opposition to
 16 Plaintiff’s request to lift the stay. *Id.* The Court’s March 15 Order stated that “[i]f GroupMe’s
 17 petition for expedited declaratory ruling and clarification is acted on during the period of the stay,
 18 the parties shall bring it to the court’s attention; however, the instant ruling is not based on
 19 GroupMe’s filing of the subsequent petition. For now, the stay remains based solely on the
 20 remaining of the two grounds for its initial imposition.” Order, Dkt. No. 76, at 1.

21 On July 24, 2012, the FCC issued a Public Notice Seeking Comment on the issues
 22 presented in GroupMe’s Petition. Ex. A hereto (*FCC Consumer and Governmental Affairs*
 23 *Bureau Seeks Comment on Petition for Expedited Declaratory Ruling from GroupMe, Inc.*)
 24 (“Public Notice”). The Public Notice states that Comments are due by August 30, 2012 and
 25 Reply Comments are due by September 10, 2012. *Id.*

26 Respectfully submitted,

27 Dated: July 27, 2012

EDELSON MCGUIRE LLC

28 By: /s/ Christopher L. Dore

1 SEAN REIS - SBN 184044
2 (sreis@edelson.com)
3 EDELSON MCGUIRE LLP
30021 Tomas Street, Suite 300
Rancho Santa Margarita, California 92688
4 Tel: (949) 459-2124
Fax: (949) 459-2123

5 RAFEY S. BALABANIAN (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)
(rbalabanian@edelson.com)
6 CHRISTOPHER L. DORE (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)
cdore@edelson.com
7 EDELSON MCGUIRE, LLC
350 North LaSalle Street, Suite 1300
Chicago, Illinois 60654
8 Tel: (312) 589-6370
Fax: (312) 589-6378

9
10 Attorneys for Plaintiff BRIAN GLAUSER

11 Dated: July 27, 2012

WHITE & CASE LLP

12 By: /s/ Bryan A. Merryman
13 BRYAN A. MERRYMAN (SBN 134357)
14 J. JONATHAN HAWK (SBN 254350)
15 WHITE & CASE LLP
16 633 W. Fifth Street, Suite 1900
17 Los Angeles, CA 90071-2007
18 Telephone: (213) 620-7700
19 Facsimile: (213) 452-2329
20 Email: bmerryman@whitecase.com
21 Email: jhawk@whitecase.com

22 JEREMY OSTRANDER (SBN 233489)
23 WHITE & CASE LLP
24 5 Palo Alto Square, 9th Floor
25 3000 El Camino Real
26 Palo Alto, CA 94306
27 Telephone: (650) 213-0300
28 Facsimile: (650) 213-8158
Email: jostrander@whitecase.com

22 Attorneys for Defendant GROUPME, INC.

23 Dated: July 27, 2012

GOODWIN PROCTOR LLP

24 By: /s/ Patrick S. Thompson
25 PATRICK S. THOMPSON
26 AUDREY M. LIN
27 GOODWIN PROCTER LLP
28 Three Embarcadero Center, 24th Floor,
San Francisco, CA 94111
415-733-6000
Fax: 415-677-9041
Email: pthompson@goodwinprocter.com

1
2 Attorneys for Defendant TWILIO, INC.
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

WHITE & CASE LLP
633 W FIFTH STREET, SUITE 1900
LOS ANGELES, CA 90071-2007

DECLARATION OF SERVICE

I, J. Jonathan Hawk, declare as follows:

I am employed in the County of Los Angeles in California. I am over the age of eighteen years and am not a party to this action. My business address is 633 West Fifth Street, Suite 1900, Los Angeles, California, 90071. On July 27, 2012, I served the document titled:

JOINT STATUS REPORT

to all named counsel of record via the ECF (Electronic Case Filing) system of the United States District Court for the Northern District of California. All counsel of record are required to be registered e-filers and, as such, are automatically e-served with a copy of the documents upon confirmation of e-filing.

I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the state of California and the United States that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated: July 27, 2012

/s/ *J. Jonathan Hawk*
J. Jonathan Hawk

1
2 **DECLARATION OF J. JONATHAN HAWK**

3 I, J. Jonathan Hawk, am one of the attorneys of record for Defendant GroupMe, Inc.
4 Christopher L. Dore, attorney of record for Plaintiff Brian Glauser, and Patrick S. Thompson,
5 attorney of record for Defendant Twilio, Inc., gave me concurrence in the filing of the document
6 titled "JOINT STATUS REPORT," which concurrence shall serve in lieu of their signatures on
7 that filed document. I have obtained and will maintain records to support this concurrence for
8 subsequent production for the Court if so ordered or for inspection upon request by a party until
9 one year after final resolution of the action (including appeal, if any).

10 Dated: July 27, 2012

11 WHITE & CASE LLP

12 By: /s/ J. Jonathan Hawk
13 J. Jonathan Hawk
14 Attorneys for Defendant GroupMe, Inc.